CALL-IN FORM

TO: Head of Policy and Governance

FROM: Councillor Kevin Deanus

What decision is being called-in?

Leisure Centre Investment Farnham

1. Why is the decision being called-in?

The Constitution states that call-in should only be used as a last resort when the principles set out under Article 13 of the constitution have not been adhered to. These principles are also set out at the bottom of this form.

We call-in this decision because: The decision was approved by the Executive on the 3rd March 2020, with a proposed update sheet dated 25th March 2020. This decision has not been scrutinised by the Community Wellbeing O & S, and the meeting where this will be presented and discussed in not until the 25th March 2020, thus preventing scrutiny and call in. There is a lack of information contained, both in terms of data obtained, process, options considered, financial implications and SWOT type analysis, ensuring that the decision is future proofed and in the interests of Farnham residents.

Who is calling-in the decision? (Please note: Each member noted below must have written/emailed/phoned the Head of Policy and Governance to confirm that they support the call-in)

Councillor (Main contact) Kevin Deanus Councillor John Robini Councillor Jenny Else

3. What information do you want to be available at the call-in meeting? (Please underline any of the following options that you would like to see being provided at this meeting)

Portfolio Holder to be present at the meeting

Portfolio Holder to make a presentation at the meeting

Relevant Officer to make a presentation

Expert witness or interested party to be present
Site visit
Comparative research
Additional written information
Other - please specify
Date of Call in Request: Monday 9th March 2020.
Date received (Office use only)
Received by

NOTES

The constitution suggests that call-in should only be used in exceptional circumstances. Call-in may occur if Members believe that a decision has not been made in accordance with the following principles:

- Proportionality i.e. the decision must be proportionate to the desired outcome
- Due consultation with the relevant parties and Officers
- Human rights have been respected
- There has been a presumption in favour of openness
- Clear aims and desirable outcomes have been identified
- An explanation has not been given for what options were considered in arriving at a
- decision and the reason for that decision.

If Members are uncertain whether any of the above criteria would apply to a decision that they are concerned about, they may wish to contact the Head of Policy and Governance for advice.